

19 FEB 1971

on
According to the Peter STAEHLE articles in the ~~Hex~~ Heinrich
MUELLER in the STERN, Bernard LESCRIER as a Soviet
mouthpiece denied Heinrich MUELLER was ~~still~~ in Soviet
hands, but did not deny he had been in those hands in 1945.
Conclusive evidence in [redacted] that LESCRIER, born about
1900, had special access to Soviets and that they used him to
funnel out propaganda. Presumably, therefore, the Sovs
were not averse to having had MUELLER but careful to deny
harboring him. Unfortunately, we still have to trace down the
article in which LESCRIER said this, and it is most unlikely that
~~hexxxx~~ will be given credit for writing, as he is a leg man
for better reporters who get the by-lines. If, in fact, ~~LESCRIER~~
LESCRIER did say what STAEHLE said he said, then we can
theorize the Sovs had some reason for slanting the MUELLER stor
as they did. ~~Bulxxx Bulky~~ 29 224 box 10 sent for. 19 FEB 1971

(Note this link was also involved with CAPOTE (F W HEINZ ???)
and Jan Eland's successor Adolf BENZ, Dutch IS Officer in Bonn.)

LESCRIER widely known as a talkative diabetic, drunk and
generally insecure, so he would hardly be used except as a mouthpiece.
He was a UP correspondent German in the 30's .

DECLASSIFIED AND RELEASED BY
CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY
SOURCES METHODS EXEMPTION 3B2B
NAZI WAR CRIMES DISCLOSURE ACT
DATE 2000 2006